Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,759	ROESNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuyen To	2825	TT
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Brian Russell (Reg. No. 40,796).	(3) <u>Tuyen To</u> .		
(2) Vuthe Siek (Primary, AU 2825).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 14 February 2006.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			:
Identification of prior art discussed: Beardslee et al. (US Pat. No. 6,618,839).			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
	.–7		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Tuyh		<del></del>
Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicants explained in more detail claim 1, particularly the recitation of " a statement associating the Dial with a mapping function that applies a selected transformation to value read from or written to said instance of said Dial." and discussed the cited portion of Beardslee " Eighth, a DIC register-to-physical mapping module 1718 maps each register configuration and each status register in the DIC into an address space of physical memory in the design to produce the R2P database 614. For example, the physical memory could be implemented as a set of scan chain, in which case the physical address of a configuration or status register would be given by the index of the scan-chain used and the bit position within the scan chain."

Examiner suggested applicants clarifying the claim limitations "value(s)", "a selected transformation", and "values read from or written to".